

No. ID/HSR/106/81/26888.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Bhalla Ram and the management of M/s Haryana Roadways, Hissar, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

Any whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to Labour Court, Rohtak constituted under section 7 of the Industrial Disputes Act 1947,—vide Government notification No. 3864-ASO (E) Lab-70/13648, dated 8th May, 1970 read with Government notification No 9541-I-Lab-70/32573, dated 6th November, 1970 the matters specified below being either matter in dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication :—

Whether the termination of services of Shri Bhalla Ram was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/BHN/30/82/26944.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial disputes exists between the workman Shri Baru Ram and the management of Executive Engineer Feeder, Mechanical Division, Bhiwani, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to Labour Court, Rohtak constituted under section 7 of the Industrial Disputes Act 1947,—vide Government notification No. 3864-ASO (E) Lab-70/13648 dated 8th May, 1970, read with Government notification No. 9541-I-Lab 70/32573, dated 6th November, 1970 the matters specified below being either in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Baru Ram was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/FD/126/82/26951.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an Industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Tek Chand and the management of M/s Haryana Rural Industry, village and post office Bhakri Pali, Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the power conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (i) of Section 10 of Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad constituted under section 7-A of the said Act the matters specified below, being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudications:—

Whether the termination of services of Shri Tek Chand was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/FD/115/82/25958:—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workmen Shri Govind Ram and the management of M/s Rathi Ceramics, Plot No. 392, Sector 24, Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (i) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad constituted under section 7-A of the said Act, the matters specified below, being either matter in dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of services of Shri Govind Ram was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?